

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/954,951	HIND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Stevens	2162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Stevens, USPTO. (3)_____.

(2) Rohan Sabapathypillai, Reg. No. 51,074. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: After consulting with Primary Examiner Alam, Examiner Stevens discussed claim amendments made as a result of a 10/19/2007 conversation with Mr. Sabapathypillai (regarding the incorporation of the substance of claims 2 and 16 into the independent claims). Examiner Stevens will generate an Examiner's Amendment reflecting this amended claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Stevens, Robert M.

From: Saba, Rohan [RSaba@myersbigel.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2007 4:58 PM
To: Stevens, Robert M.
Subject: Examiner's Amendment for Appl. Serial No. 09/954,951; Attorney Ref. No. 5577-322



09/954,951
Amended Claims 1

<<09/954,951 Amended Claims Listing for Examiner's Amendment.DOC>>
Hi Examiner Stevens,

Further to our telephone conversation on October 19, 2007, attached is a listing of amended claims for the above-referenced application. As we discussed, the attached claims are provided for entry via an Examiner's Amendment to place the application in form for allowance.

In particular, independent Claim 1 has been amended to include the recitations of dependent Claims 2 and 16, as you suggested. Independent Claims 24 and 25 have been similarly amended based on the specific recitations therein. As such, Claims 2, 16, and 26 have been canceled.

The remaining dependent claims have also been amended to be consistent with the amendments to the independent claims and to correct minor errors therein.

Please also note that Claims 32-53, directed to systems and computer program products, have been canceled for reasons unrelated to patentability.

If you have any questions regarding these amendments, please feel free to give me a call at the number listed below. Thanks again for your time and consideration in advancing this application to allowance.

Best regards,

Rohan G. Sabapathypillai
Registration No. 51,074

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.
4140 Parklake Avenue, Suite 600
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Telephone: (919) 854-1400
Fax: (919) 854-1401
Email: rsaba@myersbigel.com
Web: www.myersbigel.com